Technical Criteria for Q3/L3 and Q4/L3 - Enquiry: Miscellaneous Metals and Hardware (E3513GXNOU)

Requirement		Criteria	Deliverable	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
REQUIREMENTS	Quality	A Quality Assurance Program that meets the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 as identified in 238-769T.	The returnable is a document or method statement describing the supplier Quality Programme that provide a description of the processes and supporting information that reflects how processes are managed and work/operation is prepared, reviewed, carried out, recorded, assessed and improved . Examples of processes to be described can include Resource Selection, Quality Control, Storage and Handling; Purchasing and Receipt Inspection etc.	**100%** - Conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **75%** - Minor gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **50%** - Major gaps identified with conformance to the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3. **0%** - No documents submitted.	**30%**		0%	0.0%		
		Submission of signed 238-769T Form.	The returnable is a signed Supplier Quality Management System Requirements Form (238-769T), indicating the supplier's commitment to meet the requirements stipulated.	**100%** - Submission of a signed form: 238-769T Rev 2. **0%** - No documents submitted.	**5%**		0%	0.0%		
		Control of nonconforming product or service and application for concession.	The returnable is a method statement or process document and record demonstrating implementation of Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process. Eg Closed out NCR.	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement or Process document and Non-conformance or Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Supplier Method Statement (Process document) and Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. **75%** - Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. **50%** - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service. **0%** - No documents submitted.	**35%**		0%	0.0%		
		Supplier Product Receipt Inspection Process.	Tenderer to submit method statement or process document and retained documented information (records/report) demonstrating implementation of Receiving and Inspection Processes performed by the tenderer.	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement or process document and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Receipt Inspection Checklist or Receipt Inspection Record. **75%** - Receipt Inspection report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g.Receipt Inspection Checklist or Receipt Inspection Record. **50%** - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for verification of receipt and inspection of product. **0%** - No documents submitted.	**30%**		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			**100%**	**NOT MEET**	***0%***			
	Technical	Conformance to **DSG-310-038**, **DSG-314-305**, **DSG-314-401**, **DSG-314-052.**	**1.** Returnable is an example of a **EN 10204 type 3.1** material certificate which states material chemical composition and mechanical properties for similar product type.	**1.** An example of a **EN 10204 type 3.1** material certificate which states material chemical composition and mechanical properties for similar product type.	**50%**		0%	0.0%		
			2. Example of a Certificate of Conformance.	**2.** Example of a Certificate of Conformance.	**50%**		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			**100%**	**NOT MEET**	***0%***			
	Previous Experience	Previous Experience: Tenderers are required to provide evidence of satisfactory performance in the supply of similar product/project of comparable size, complexity, and nature.	A proof of at least 3 previous projects/transactions where similar products were supplied.	**100%** - Three Letters provided. **75%** - Two letters provided. **50%** - One letter provided. **0%** - No letters submitted	**100%**		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			**100%**	**NOT MEET**	***0%***			
Final Analysis										
Quality					**55%**	**0.0%**				
Technical					**40%**	**0.0%**				
Previous Experience					**5%**	**0.0%**				
TOTAL					**100%**	**0.0%**				

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3 - 100% - Meet
The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:
0% - 69% - Does not meet
70% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by: Muzi Lushaba & Vuyo Dzingwe

Signature:

Date: 2026/09/07